

# Innovation and Development of United Front Work for Returnee Students in the New Era: A Comparative Study of Six Universities in Nanjing

DING Qiang, WANG Yunjiao

Nanjing University of Finance and Economics, Nanjing, China

This study examines the innovative development of united front work for returnee students in Chinese universities, with a particular focus on six universities in Nanjing. By comparing the strategies and outcomes of these institutions, the research aims to provide insights into effective practices for integrating returnee students into the academic and social fabric of Chinese higher education. The study highlights the importance of policy support, international collaboration, and tailored services to enhance the contribution of returnee students to China's innovation-driven development strategy.

*Keywords:* united front work, returnee students, higher education, innovation, Nanjing, comparative study

## Introduction

The rapid increase in the number of Chinese students studying abroad and subsequently returning to China has significantly impacted the country's higher education system. Returnee students are considered valuable assets, contributing to the nation's innovation and development strategies. This study investigates the united front work for these returnees in six universities in Nanjing, examining the challenges and best practices in fostering their integration and maximizing their potential.

The significance of returnee students extends beyond academic contributions. These individuals bring back global perspectives, advanced knowledge, and professional networks, which are vital for China's aspirations in becoming a global leader in technology and innovation. Thus, understanding how universities can effectively support these returnees is crucial. Additionally, returnee students often possess a unique blend of cultural adaptability and linguistic proficiency, enabling them to act as bridges between China and the global academic community. By successfully integrating these students, universities not only enhance their own academic environments but also bolster China's soft power on the international stage. Therefore, a detailed examination of the support systems in place for returnee students is not just beneficial but essential for leveraging their full potential in contributing to China's development goals.

---

**Acknowledgement:** This paper is supported by Nanjing University of Finance & Economics 2023 Research Project "United Front Special Project" (Project Number: KYD-QXW23001) and Nanjing Yongxin Home Property Management Co., LTD (Project Number: KYD-QHW24001).

DING Qiang, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Dean of School of Foreign Languages, Nanjing University of Finance and Economics, Nanjing, China.

WANG Yunjiao (Corresponding author), Ph.D., Lecturer, School of Foreign Languages, Nanjing University of Finance and Economics, Nanjing, China.

## Background

China has implemented various strategies to attract returnee students, recognizing their role in driving innovation and economic growth. Policies such as the “Thousand Talents Plan” and the establishment of returnee entrepreneurship parks have been pivotal in this regard. Nanjing, as a key educational hub, offers a unique context for studying the effectiveness of these initiatives.

The “Thousand Talents Plan”, initiated in 2008, aims to attract high-level talent from overseas, offering them lucrative incentives and substantial support to return to China. These include research grants, housing benefits, and favorable policies for starting businesses. Additionally, returnee entrepreneurship parks provide a supportive environment with infrastructure, mentorship, and funding opportunities specifically designed for returnee entrepreneurs.

Nanjing, with its rich academic tradition and numerous higher education institutions, is a microcosm of the broader national efforts. The city’s universities are at the forefront of implementing these policies, making them ideal case studies for this research.

## Methodology

This research employs a comparative case study approach, focusing on six universities in Nanjing: Nanjing University, Southeast University, Nanjing University of Science and Technology, Nanjing Agricultural University, Nanjing Normal University, and Hohai University. Data were collected through surveys, interviews, and analysis of institutional reports and policies.

Surveys were distributed to returnee students and faculty members to gather quantitative data on their experiences and perceptions of support services. In-depth interviews with university administrators provided qualitative insights into the strategic planning and implementation of support initiatives. Institutional reports and policy documents were analyzed to understand the formal structures and resources allocated for returnee students.

## Comparative Analysis

### Institutional Policies and Support

Each university has developed unique policies to support returnee students. Nanjing University and Southeast University, for instance, have established comprehensive support systems, including dedicated offices for returnee affairs and tailored mentorship programs. These initiatives aim to address academic, professional, and personal challenges faced by returnee students.

Nanjing University’s Office for Returnee Affairs offers personalized academic advising, career counseling, and social integration activities. Similarly, Southeast University’s mentorship program pairs returnee students with faculty mentors who provide guidance on research projects and professional development.

### Integration Programs

Integration programs vary significantly among the universities. Nanjing University of Science and Technology offers extensive orientation sessions and cultural exchange activities, while Nanjing Agricultural University focuses on research collaborations and academic integration. These programs are designed to facilitate the transition of returnees and leverage their international experience.

Nanjing University of Science and Technology’s orientation program includes workshops on Chinese academic norms, cultural adaptation sessions, and social events to foster a sense of community. Nanjing

Agricultural University, on the other hand, emphasizes integrating returnees into ongoing research projects, providing platforms for collaborative work with local and international researchers.

### **Entrepreneurship and Innovation**

Entrepreneurship support is a critical area where universities can make a significant impact. Nanjing Normal University and Hohai University have established entrepreneurship centers and provide funding opportunities for returnee students to start their ventures. These initiatives not only encourage innovation but also contribute to local economic development.

Nanjing Normal University's entrepreneurship center offers seed funding, business incubator spaces, and networking events with industry leaders. Hohai University provides comprehensive support, including business plan competitions, mentorship from successful entrepreneurs, and access to venture capital.

### **Challenges and Opportunities**

Despite these efforts, challenges remain. Common issues include bureaucratic hurdles, lack of targeted funding, and difficulties in adapting to the local academic environment. However, these challenges also present opportunities for policy refinement and increased international collaboration.

Returnee students often face challenges such as navigating complex administrative procedures, securing research funding that is specifically allocated for returnees, and adjusting to the pedagogical differences between Chinese and foreign education systems. These issues highlight the need for continuous policy adaptation and enhanced support mechanisms.

## **Discussion**

### **Policy Implications**

The findings suggest that comprehensive policy support at both institutional and governmental levels is crucial for the successful integration of returnee students. Policies should focus on reducing bureaucratic barriers, increasing funding for research and entrepreneurship, and enhancing international partnerships.

Effective policies should streamline administrative processes to minimize bureaucratic delays and create a more supportive environment for returnee students. Increased funding can be allocated for research grants, start-up ventures, and professional development programs specifically tailored for returnees.

### **Best Practices**

Best practices identified in the study include the establishment of dedicated support offices, tailored mentorship programs, extensive orientation sessions, and robust entrepreneurship support. These practices can serve as models for other institutions aiming to enhance their support for returnee students.

The establishment of dedicated support offices ensures that returnee students have a centralized resource for assistance with academic and administrative issues. Tailored mentorship programs provide personalized guidance, helping returnees navigate the academic landscape and develop their professional skills.

### **Future Directions**

Future research should explore the long-term impact of these initiatives on the professional trajectories of returnee students. Additionally, there is a need for a more nuanced understanding of the specific needs of returnee students from different academic disciplines and cultural backgrounds.

Longitudinal studies can track the career progression of returnee students to assess the effectiveness of support initiatives over time. Moreover, research should consider the diversity among returnee students, as their needs and challenges may vary based on their field of study, level of education, and cultural background.

### Conclusion

The innovative development of united front work for returnee students in Nanjing's universities demonstrates the potential of targeted support initiatives to enhance the integration and contributions of these students. By addressing the identified challenges and building on best practices, Chinese universities can better harness the potential of returnee students to drive innovation and development in the new era.

Furthermore, this study underscores the critical role that a supportive institutional environment plays in maximizing the potential of returnee students. Effective integration not only benefits the returnees themselves but also enriches the academic and cultural milieu of the universities. By fostering an inclusive and supportive atmosphere, universities can create a dynamic environment conducive to academic excellence and innovative research.

Additionally, the collaboration between universities and government bodies is essential in refining and implementing policies that support returnee students. This partnership can lead to the creation of more comprehensive support systems, including streamlined administrative processes, increased funding opportunities, and enhanced access to entrepreneurial resources. Such measures can significantly reduce the barriers that returnee students face and facilitate their contributions to China's broader socio-economic goals.

In conclusion, the united front work for returnee students is a crucial aspect of China's higher education strategy. As the country continues to position itself as a global leader in innovation, the successful integration of returnee students will be pivotal. By leveraging the insights gained from the comparative study of Nanjing's universities, other institutions across China can adopt and adapt these best practices, ensuring that returnee students are well-supported and able to thrive. This, in turn, will contribute to the overall advancement of China's educational and economic landscape in the global arena.

### References

- Hohai University. (2022). *Comparative analysis of returnee students integration*.
- Jiangsu International Education. (2023). *Development report on Chinese students studying abroad*.
- Li, S. (2019). Characteristics, problems, and promotion strategies of Chinese universities' overseas education. *Higher Education Management*, 13(1), 98-103+124.
- Liu, L. M. (2020). China's practice and future prospects of international education services. *China Scholars Abroad*, 34(10), 10-13.
- Liu, Q. (2020). Development of international trade under the Belt and Road Initiative. *International Trade*, 2, 1.
- Liu, Y., Zhu, P., & Hu, X. (2024). Hot topics, evolution characteristics, and future prospects of international Chinese teacher research. *Ethnic Education Research*, 35(1), 157-166.
- Nanjing University. (2022). *Annual report on international students*.
- Ren, S. (2023). Current situation and countermeasures of China's education service trade import and export. *Industry and Technology Forum*, 22(18), 13-14.
- Rong, L. (2019). Comparative study of higher education service trade between China, the US, and Australia (Doctoral dissertation, Northeast Normal University, 2019).
- Southeast University. (2022). *Innovation and entrepreneurship report*.
- Wang, J., & Yan, W. (2021). Status and scale development strategies of Shanxi's international education service export trade under the Belt and Road Initiative. *Journal of Shanxi Energy Institute*, 34(4), 42-45+51.
- Zhang, X. (2022). Exploring the high-level opening path of China's education service trade under the new development pattern of dual circulation. *Times Trade*, 19(10), 147-151.

- Zhang, Y. Z. (2019). New trends and challenges in the development of Chinese study abroad service trade in the new era. *Practice in Foreign Economic Relations and Trade*, 37(3), 69-72.
- Zhou, J. K. (2019). Factors affecting the trade deficit of international education services between China and the US. *International Business*, 33(2), 50-60.
- Zhu, Y. (2018). Research on Jiangsu Province's education service trade. *Times Finance*, 39(21), 77-78+83.