

# Comprehending the Study of Youth Political Participation in Niger Delta, Nigeria

Bari-ika Nornubari Vite  
Anglia Ruskin University, Chelmsford, UK

This paper assesses and identifies the mobilization, structural role, and traditional socialization of political literacy, and it is conceptualized and conceivable for informing political participation. Political literacy is not easily measured; however, it is assuming that if young people are politically educated, they recognise party differences and understand essential political concepts and details. Again, political concept includes political expertise, political awareness, and civic experience. By using repertoires and agencies, it is suggested that comprehending political participation has a great impact on political literacy, going fairly and closely by structural roles. Socialization negotiators have less effect. This perception relatively supports the prevailing youth political comprehension and explanations of the concept. Furthermore, self-representation or selection contribute to the challenges of political literacy and education, making the comprehending of young people's political participation impending than most political scientists supposed as political involvement.

*Keywords:* citizen-concern, mainstream, everyday makers, party-political

## Introduction

There are numbers of studies in Nigeria and Africa, which suggest that even if young peoples are apprehensive of the government and political representatives. The little information they have concerning politics, the fewer possibility to engage with party-politics, alliances, and other prescribed party-political groups (Blanchet-Cohen, Manolson, & Shaw, 2014; Corvalan & Cox, 2013). Involving in various ranges of political acts as mainstream usually views them as quantitative studies (Vromen, 2003, 2007; Landwehr, 2014; Nicholls, 2013). The contradiction proposed that instead of being apolitical, young peoples are conceiving policymaking and participation in new methods.

This study suggested the significant benefits of recent thought of political involvement presented by Norris, who argued that party-political engagement is reorganized through change in the organizations, collection, as well as aims of membership (Norris, 2003). Norris used country social analysis to view diversification methods of party-politics membership to cover through age bracket (Norris, 2003). The outcome of this diversification approach (Norris, 2002b, 2003; Brown, 2015; Doorenspleet, 2012). Norris claimed that reducing the intensities of youth engagement to regular party-politics accomplishments does not demonstrate intensities of indifference with non-participation, rather, a non-rational adjustment to the structure of party-politics and membership. Norris conceived it as division from citizen-concern with accomplishments to purpose-oriented accomplishments. The change in approach to the purpose-oriented collection is linked to low involvement with


traditional voluntary associations, for example, the unions, party-politics, as well as new social programs. Table 1 elucidates the analysis of Norris.

Norris (2003) postulated that an age group moving out of conformist politics of allegiances to the repertoires of organizations is based on “principles of politics and choice” as is specifically evident among young.

Table 1

*The Analysis of the Development of Political Activism*

		Repertoires	
		citizenships-concerned with repertoires	Purpose-oriented repertoires
		including voting get-together effort and interaction movement	including consumers politicking, protests and entreaties
Agencies			
Conventional voluntary associations		Antiquated generation	
such as faith base organization, unions, and party-politics			
Social movements and activism arrangements			
such as environmental and humanitarian bodies			Younger generation



Note. Source: Norris (2003, p. 22).

Norris's theory made three specific suggestions pertinent to this study, which is to start with:

1. The pragmatic enquiry of how young people conceive part-politics, the type of participatory performances that young peoples are involved;
2. The proposition that the function of government has been adjusted as citizens progressively deviate from political involvement towards the mainstreams and self-contained and charitable activities;
3. The contrast between party-politics and social performances is unclear as citizens are progressively involved in consumable lifestyle and political activism (Norris, 2003).

At this juncture, consideration will be on the literature that responses to these three factors, and given attention to their debate and the different views of Bang (2010), Bennett (2014), Marsh, O'Toole, and Jones (2007), and Coleman (2007) is useful. They presented significant pragmatic presentation to the research on young lives party-political identity that was used in the data. The argument will help to bring to notice general studies as well as the attention to E-participation since the division amongst involvement and E-participation is possibly untrue; the author thus argues that research on E-participation can inform us about more significant developments concerning young people's approaches to engagement.

### **Collections of Membership in Niger Delta, Nigeria**

Here are noticeable proofs of different structures of membership, accepted by youth in Niger Delta, Nigeria. Thus, some literatures fostering on conceptualization of youth are organized by concerns, instead of conventional loyalties to institutions or ideologies (Charles & Haines, 2014; Collin, 2015).

### **Building Participation in Niger Delta, Nigeria**

The study obtains that young people in Nigeria and Africa are involved in the diverse fields of individual and union-based structures of engagement. It shows performances which could be linked to social organisations, for example, writing or signing petitions, appearance at rallies and events (Anyanwu & Reuben, 2016; Menzies, 2015; Henderson, n.d.). Conventional volunteering (Brown, 2015; Doorenspleet, 2012) and informal engagement deliberations (Cairney, 2015) are all acts related to human development (Landwehr, 2014) and comparatively, new structures of deliberation as well as communitarian action (Miles, 2015; Vromen, 2008). Studies on human development for participation are the basis for comprehension on novel structures of engagement since some youths are aided by the capability of human development on engagement.

For instance, in Nigeria, Arowosegbe's study examined the links amongst youth political engagement and development but worked on an extensive definition of engagement (Arowosegbe, 2009). Norris considered the scope of participatory acts, which can be managed independently, or as the supporter of an organization that stands for normative traditional as well as the untraditional engagement using the development to find information on an issue, organization or action, and debating (Faniran & Adeboyejo, 2004). She established that development plays an important part in making possible detail knowledge and sharing (Faniran & Adeboyejo, 2004) and also that development facilitates the role in creating opportunities for young people's political engagement. Thus, she articulated the normative ideology of thought as the aim for E-citizenship initiatives (Van den Boogaard, 2016), arguing that the liberal and communitarian style displays a significant role in bringing out detail knowledge and individual-led and group-led communication that youth show and express their political ideologies and become proactive. Moreover, Norris sounds caution about forming debate as the standardized thinking for E-citizenship and young people.

The standardized ideology of youth-guided developmental opportunities as an autonomous mainstreams environment will facilitate alternative standard that helps to interpret young people's political participation and behaviours as deficient (Vromen, 2008).

Vromen viewed it as the study of the diversity of young people's developmental use of political engagement, expression, and community-building.

Correspondently, Stelmakh (2015) and Boffi (2012) used focus groups and media-aided analysis to access the Internet by using 18-30 years old (UK children go online: <http://www.children-go-online.net>). The question was about youth happenings, such as games, conversation, and probing news line, and guidance with information. Evaluating three forms of activities and website interactions, going to mainstream locations, and forming websites used group study in developing the classification of youth E-participation, which concludes with three separate groups, such as interactors, the civic-minded, as well as non-participants. Interactors are often seen as middle-class boys that have better access skills on the Internet and also participate in a broader scope of e-activities which also involve getting information and guidance. Stelmakh concluded that these activities do not mean habitually civic pursuits. In contrast, it observes that non-participants appear to come out of poor financial family status which may not have a laptop. From the evaluation, it was noticed that some youths are not opportune or privileged compared to the other two sets of participation with E-activity. In spite of giving vital comprehension toward the means that young people participate in E-activities, conclusively the connection with party-politics engagement is finite. However, focus groups' analysis was used as well; the

analysis seems not well define what youth mean by “party-political”. Thus, the writers admit that unresolved inquiries that link amongst E-activities and activities (Stelmakh, 2015; Boffi, 2012).

Moreover, Livingstone, Couldry, and Markham (2007), and Vromen (2016) had analyzed a wider scope of activities, and it is (mostly qualitative) which research that needs the scholar to explain the extent of engagement activities. However, this research does not propose comprehension for significant as well as apparent use of “non-party-political” activities (for example, the sites for social networking). In spite of this, they had made significant observations on young people’s E-participation, thus, fostering the comprehension of youth engagement.

Firstly, young people use the E-activities in different ways to promote participatory activities. These involve looking for and speculating information. However, since there is attention on the role of young people to search for information and opportunities for civic participation, their activity is finite. Livingstone et al. (2007) and Vromen (2016) admitted that it could be challenging for young people’s bodies as well as substance producers instead of an insufficiency in young people.

It was also noticed that E-activities strengthen current party-politics practices, instead of finding political participants. Livingstone et al. (2007) observed that the procedures of E-activity are emphatically in accordance with the youth doing E-activities and that is why they get involved in civil activity. Thus, this research also explains that E-participation is formed by standard of learning, gender, as well as employment.

### **Forming Young People’s Views in Niger Delta, Nigeria**

Young people are concerned with the broader issues that link with their locality, nationality, as well as international (Nicholls, 2013, Maxim & Lenta, 2014; Galliot, 2015). Moreover, studies most time look down on what inspires young people’s interest in matters as well as the kind of participation they engage (Catlaw & Treisman, 2014). The author has contrasted three descriptions of politics as everyday life.

### **Niger Delta Youth Lived Political Experience**

Table 2

#### *Features of Autonomous and Dutiful or Submissive Citizens*

Autonomous citizen	Dutiful citizen
Less sense of government commitment, higher sense of individual purpose.	Obligation to participate in government centred activities.
Referendum is less meaningful than other, more personally defined acts, such as consumerism, community volunteering, or transnational activism.	Referendum is a core democratic act.
Mistrust of media and politicians is reinforced by negative information’s from the media.	Youth are informed about matters and government following information from the media.
Youth who loose networks with civic activities often established or are sustained through friendships and peer relations and thin social ties maintained by interactive information technologies.	Joins civil society organisations or expresses interests through parties that classically employ one-way conventional communication to mobilise supporters.

*Note.* Source: Bennett (2014).

Norris (2002a) and Bennett (2014) argued and asserted that the decline in civic participation favours new shape of political interest and engagement primarily because of the increase of unpredictability among contemporary social, cultural, and economic environment (Bennett, 2014). Consequently, he argued that young peoples are adapting a free identity management plans (Bennett, 2014). They are gradually becoming autonomous,

thus obtaining substantial satisfaction in clarifying their political pavement (Bennett, 2014). However, different to the preceding age groups, that could be distinguished as “submissive”, conducted by the guided principles of social solidarities and conventional allegiances to particular party politics or government reinforcement formations. The prevailing characteristics of autonomous and dutiful or submissive citizens are in Table 2.

### **The Characteristics of Autonomous and Dutiful Citizens**

Autonomous citizens are convening with links to personal political interest and connection with informal concern of families, companionship, associates unions, lifestyle and identity, and the situation fostered by empirical study in Nigeria (Catlaw & Treisman, 2014). Autonomous citizens subscribe to regular struggle in the local, national, and international stage and tackle the broader extent of individual acts, for example, refusing to take part in volunteering at a community level and foster a debate through e-activities and propagandas (Bennett, 2014). To him, autonomous citizens are insensitive to dutiful citizenship values (Bennett, 20014), as it is mostly issue-oriented.

### **Politics as Project-Based**

Bang’s contribute slightly different explanation, however, having a lot of resemblances in his account to Bennett’s of the introduction of the idea of expert citizenship that Bang (2005) proposed as the reaction to procedures of network or system governance which is the attribute of old modernity. Bang’s theories of expert citizenship involve the determined development of engagement that replaces conventional united and antagonist engagement (Bang, 2010). To him, the debates are that, firstly, politics is progressively growing and personal as well as self-reflexive. Secondly, civil involvement is progressively growing in political networks instead of positioning against hierarchy. Thirdly, engagement is structured around the choice of engagement and disengagement from a setting perspective. Fourthly, preferences and awareness of necessity collectively motivate the awareness of participation and how the principles of personal reliability and sureness position the vital essentials in party-politics (Bang, 2010).

While Bennett’s autonomous citizenship is organized and driven by personal determination and issues which connect with personal principals and socially important, as the expert citizenship is thought as permanent scheme for network governance. Moreover, Bang’s argument is at the advantages of the expert citizenship and the encounters of different new party-political identity: the Everyday Maker.

The Everyday Maker is party-political and governmentally inclined, as well as purpose-concerned, however, whose civil happenings are straightened by procedure of connectivity’s. It is project-concerned and is not orientated to united achievement, for example, as measure of social movement that favors individuals or small party-political involvement. The possibilities of young people’s party-political involvement are seen through everyday activities, as it tries to make a difference or impact on change, instead of generating new opportunities for present party-political procedures. Everyday Makers involve in happenings like conventions, forming programs for events.

### **The Niger Delta, Nigeria and Everyday Maker**

Principles that make up Everyday Maker are:

- (1) Doing it in person;
- (2) Doing it where possible;

- (3) Doing it in exciting way if necessary;
- (4) Doing it in temporary;
- (5) Doing it explicitly, not philosophically;
- (6) Doing it with confident;
- (7) Doing it with technique as needed (Bang, 2010).

As expert citizenship, the Everyday Maker did not presume validating political identity (Rodríguez López, Andreouli, & Howarth, 2015). Instead, the Everyday Makers antagonized the upper class for being efficient in the party-politics of the expert citizenship. Bang with Bennett argument purposed the concern for identities, in mirror Nigeria politics has become subjective and instinctive, to choose and interest (Bang, 2010).

### **Politics and Live Structured Experience of Niger Delta, Nigeria**

Reacting to issues on youth withdrawing from participation from traditional forms of participation is signal to pervasive apathy and propaganda, Marsh et al. (2007) conducted analysis youth view on party-politics and involvement.

Focus groups' discussions were used to proto-language and in-depth interviews, and they consider the way that youth experience on gender difference, class structure, age group, and culture fashioned the ideas and methods of party-political involvement.

The argument about disaffected young people was from conventional party-politics by common economic and traditional procedures, such as government administration, and suggested the experiences structure of the ideology of party-politics and membership. To be specific, it comprehended the following:

Young people's experience shows that grown-up political arenas shape young people lived understandings.

1. Opportunities to financial wealth influenced young people's ideology of party-politics to the point they sensed that party-politics influences everyday lives;
2. The understandings of gender difference are connected with class difference as the underprivileged girls are prone to discuss gender differences;
3. Racial and tribal politics and tribal exclusions subsidized young people's disengagement from part-politics (Marsh et al., 2007).

Thus, policies and party-political movement are significant process that young people build identities, as few young people voice out political ideas in everyday activities. Marsh et al. spotted that, if Bang is correct, specifically if an increase in some Everyday Makers, young people are exploring politics as the way to young people's lived understanding (Marsh, 2011). Moreover, it articulates young people's "lived experience or understanding" to be shaped by understandings of gender differences, class differences of age groups, and tribal differences. Marsh et al. (2007) postulated a context for examining its process and structural disparities, forming young people's ideology and participatory course. The issues organized lived understanding are cultivated through regular enquiry of the text that class and gender shape youth engagement (Vromen, 2008; Tonge, Mycock, & Jeffery, 2012; Livingstone, Bober, & Helsper, 2005) and that youth ideology of revealing citizenship processes of inclusion and exclusion (Ramey, 2013).

As the methods underpin significant functions of everyday life understanding as antagonized by an ideology of tradition for shaping young people's political ideas and movements, Bang and Bennett stressed on the function of organization, while Marsh et al. put attention on the function of social movement.

Conclusively, they did not adequately investigate the role that policies and organizations play in structuring young people's ideologies and practices of involvement. However, it considered what influences subjective wellbeing and noted that these adversely influence youth ideas, experiences, and sense of effectiveness (Marsh, 2011). These are apparently about fostering young people's engagement in educational development, coaching, and job, however, it refused to work in civil society or administration and formulation of policies. Likewise, Coleman's study involved objective of engagement procedures and refused to involve in Niger Delta young people's practices. Thus, policies for youth participation established dialogue and indeed implemented functions of development to young people's party-political individualities and required to be studied. Few studies of young people's participation in formal participation apparatus have been tackled (Catlaw & Treisman, 2014; Cushing, 2015; Flemmen, 2014; Sebola, 2016; Glucksberg, 2014). Moreover, this structure of participation is not unified in the mainstream research on youth attitudes and experiences. Based on the increase in youth engagement programmed with acceptance of ethics of engagement in government and civil societies, as is reasonable to reflect on what way does structure of youth engagement ideology are practices.

The theoretical goal is about comprehending links with engagement policies and party-political identity in Niger Delta, Nigeria. The author views E-participation as a setting through which social and political discussions operate. In the study, the author examines how we can move beyond looking at E-participation as the direct mechanism for social and political procedures reflecting the approach in which E-participation has implicated the changes in political identity and practice.

Marsh contributed to better comprehensive analysis of Everyday Makers. Thus, it viewed the emergence of expert citizens as momentary and they, however, submitted and left new political identity for more comprehensive studies (Marsh et al., 2007).

## References

- Anyanwu, F. C., & Reuben, O. S. (2016). Retooling assessment procedures for skill-based health education for young people in Nigeria: Implications for 21st century educational assessment. *Universal Journal of Educational Research*, 4(1), 58-64.
- Arowosegbe, J. O. (2009). Violence and national development in Nigeria: The political economy of youth restiveness in the Niger Delta. *Review of African Political Economy*, 36(122), 575-594.
- Bang, H. (2005). Among everyday makers and expert citizens. In J. Newman (Ed.), *Remaking governance: Peoples, politics and the public sphere*. Bristol: Policy Press.
- Bang, H. P. (2007). Governing as governance (J. Kooiman, Ed.). *Public Administration*, 85(1), 227-231.
- Bang, H. P. (2010). Governmentality and the political (system). *Administrative Theory & Praxis*, 32(2), 262-268.
- Bennett, I. A. B. (2014). Elections, violence and the democratic process in Jamaica 1944-2007 by Amanda Sives, and exceptional violence: Embodied citizenship in transnational Jamaica by Deborah A. Thomas. *Caribbean Studies*, 42(1), 261-265.
- Blanchet-Cohen, N., Manolson, S., & Shaw, K. (2014). Youth-led decision making in community development grants. *Youth & Society*, 46(6), 819-834.
- Boffi, M. (2012). Politicians as cultural selectors: Favouring or discouraging youth participation. *Human Affairs*, 22(3), 325-334.
- Brown, S. (2015). Addiction, development and beyond: The new behavioural neuroscience opportunities. *Drug and Alcohol Review*, 34(Suppl. 1), E2-E2.
- Cairney, P. (2015). Scotland's future political system. *The Political Quarterly*, 86(2), 217-225.
- Catlaw, T., & Treisman, C. (2014). Is "man" still the subject of administration? *Administrative Theory & Praxis*, 36(4), 441-465.
- Charles, A., & Haines, K. (2014). Measuring young people's participation in decision making. *The International Journal of Children's Rights*, 22(3), 641-659.
- Coleman, S. (2007). How democracies have disengaged from young people. In B. Loader (Ed.), *Young citizens in the digital age: young people, citizenship, and ICTs* (pp. 166-187). London: Routledge.

- Collin, P. (2015). The civic web: Young people, the internet and civic participation. *Information, Communication & Society*, 18(12), 1464-1466.
- Corvalan, A., & Cox, P. (2013). Class-biased electoral participation: The youth vote in Chile. *Latin American Politics and Society*, 55(3), 47-68.
- Cushing, D. F. (2015). Promoting youth participation in communities through youth master planning. *Community Development*, 46(1), 43-55.
- Doorenspleet, R. (2012). Critical citizens, democratic support, and satisfaction in African democracies. *International Political Science Review*, 33(3), 279-300.
- Faniran, A., & Adeboyejo, T. A. (2004). The challenge of the participatory approach to rural poverty alleviation: The example of Olugbena group of villages, Owekoro local government of Ogun State, Nigeria. *Africa Development*, 29(3), 70-83.
- Flemmen, M. (2014). Book review: Matt Dawson, late modernity, individualization and socialism: An associational critique of neoliberalism. *Sociology*, 48(6), 1242-1244.
- Galliot, N. (2015). Youth aspirations, participation in higher education and career choice capability: where to from here? *The Australian Educational Researcher*, 42(2), 133-137.
- Glucksberg, L. (2014). Fairness, class and belonging in contemporary England by Katherine Smith. *American Anthropologist*, 116(4), 892-893.
- Henderson, E. (n.d.). Black participation in the civil war as a revolution. *SSRN Electronic Journal*.
- Landwehr, C. (2014). Democratic meta-deliberation: Towards reflective institutional design. *Political Studies*, 63(1), 38-54.
- Livingstone, S., & Bober, M. (2004). *UK children go online: Surveying the experiences of young people and their parents*. London, UK: London School of Economics and Political Science.
- Livingstone, S., Bober, M., & Helsper, E. J. (2005). Active participation or just more information: Young people's take-up of opportunities to act and interact on the Internet. *Information, Communication and Society*, 8(3), 287-314.
- Livingstone, S., Couldry, N., & Markham, T. (2007). Youthful steps towards civic participation: Does the Internet help? In B. D. Loader (Ed.), *Young citizens in the digital age: Political engagement, young people and new media*. Oxon: Routledge.
- Marsh, D. (2011). Late modernity and the changing nature of politics: Two cheers for Henrik Bang. *Critical Policy Studies*, 5(1), 73-89.
- Marsh, D., & Furlong, P. (2002). A skin, not a sweater: Ontology and epistemology in political science. In D. Marsh and G. Stoker (Eds.), *Theory and methods in political science*. Houndsmills: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Marsh, D., O'Toole, T., & Jones, S. (2007). *Young people and politics in the United Kingdom: Apathy or alienation?* Hampshire, UK: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Maxim, S. T., & Lenta, O. (2014). Risks and opportunities of individual growth in postmodern society. *Postmodern Openings*, 5(2), 9-11.
- Menzies, A. (2015). Involving young people: Creating the participation group. *British Journal of School Nursing*, 10(1), 32-34.
- Miles, S. (2015). Young people, consumer citizenship and protest: The problem with romanticizing the relationship to social change. *Young*, 23(2), 101-115.
- Nicholls, W. (2013). From political opportunities to niche-openings: The dilemmas of mobilizing for immigrant rights in inhospitable environments. *Theory and Society*, 43(1), 23-49.
- Norris, P. (2001). *Digital divide: Civic engagement, information poverty, and the internet worldwide*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Norris, P. (2002a). *Democratic phoenix: Reinventing political activism*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Norris, P. (2002b). Tuned out voters? *Ethical Perspectives*, 9(4), 200-221.
- Norris, P. (2003). Young people and political activism: From the politics of loyalties to the politics of choice? Report for the *Council of Europe Symposium*, Mimeo, Harvard University.
- Norris, P. (Ed.). (1999). *Critical citizens: Global support for democratic government*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- O'Dwyer, E., Lyons, E., & Cohrs, J. (2015). How Irish citizens negotiate foreign policy: A social representations approach to neutrality. *Political Psychology*, 37(2), 165-181.
- O'Toole, T. (2003). Engaging with young people's conceptions of the political. *Children's Geographies*, 1(1), 71-90.
- O'Toole, T., Lister, M., Marsh, D., Jones, S., & McDonough, A. (2003). Tuning out or left out? Participation and non-participation among young people. *Contemporary Politics*, 9(1), 45-61.
- Persad, G. C. (2016). Expanding deliberation in critical-care policy design. *The American Journal of Bioethics*, 16(1), 60-63.



- Ramey, H. L. (2013). Organizational outcomes of youth involvement in organizational decision making: A synthesis of qualitative research. *Journal of Community Psychology*, 41(4), 488-504.
- Rodríguez López, M., Andreouli, E., & Howarth, C. (2015). From ex-combatants to citizens: Connecting everyday citizenship and social reintegration in Colombia. *Journal of Social and Political Psychology*, 3(2), 171-191.
- Sebola, M. (2016). Public participation in South Africa's policy decision-making process: The mass and the elite choices. *International Public Administration Review*, 14(1), 55-73.
- Stelmakh, V. (2015). Political participation of youth: Experience of the visegrad group countries. *GISAP: SPMS*, (7), 16-20.
- Tonge, J., Mycock, A., & Jeffery, B. (2012). Does citizenship education make young people better-engaged citizens? *Political Studies*, 60(3), 578-602.
- Van den Boogaard, V. (2016). Modern post-colonial approaches to citizenship: Kwame Nkrumah's political thought on Pan-Africanism. *Citizenship Studies*, 21(1), 44-67.
- Van Gyampo, R. E. (2013). Student activism and democratic quality in Ghana's fourth republic. *Journal of Student Affairs in Africa*, 1(1-2), 49-66.
- Vromen, A. (2003). People try to put us down...: Participatory citizenship of Generation X. *Australian Journal of Political Science*, 38(1), 79-99.
- Vromen, A. (2007). Australian young people's participatory practises and internet use. *Information, Communication and Society*, 10(1), 48-68.
- Vromen, A. (2008). Inclusion through voice: Youth participation in government and community decision-making. In *Social Inclusion and Youth Workshop Proceedings*, Brotherhood of St Laurence, Melbourne.